

New York  
Menlo Park  
Washington DC  
São Paulo  
London

Paris  
Madrid  
Tokyo  
Beijing  
Hong Kong

# Davis Polk

**Christopher B. Hockett**

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP 650 752 2009 tel  
1600 El Camino Real 650 752 3649 fax  
Menlo Park, CA 94025 chris.hockett@davispolk.com

July 9, 2014

Re: *Rogers, et al. v. Comcast Corp.*, No. 07-00218; *Kristian, et al. v. Comcast Corp.*, No. 07-00219


The Honorable John R. Padova  
United States District Judge  
Room 17613, United States Courthouse  
601 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Dear Judge Padova:

Pursuant to the Court's directive during the telephonic status conference held on June 20, 2014 in the above-captioned actions, the parties have conferred about a proposed schedule following resolution of plaintiffs' transfer motion in an effort to present the Court with an agreed upon schedule for its consideration. Although the parties were able to agree on much of the proposed schedule, some disagreements remain, as reflected in the attached [Proposed] Scheduling Order. So that the Court can have the benefit of the parties' respective positions in one document, the attached [Proposed] Scheduling Order reflects defendants' proposal with plaintiffs' proposal identified in brackets where it differs.

The parties are available at the Court's convenience should the Court have any questions or if it would be helpful to discuss the proposed schedule further.

Respectfully submitted,



Christopher B. Hockett

Attachment

cc w/ att: John P. Zavez, Esq.  
Jeffrey G. Thorn, Esq.

Electronic Filing